WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.			ORDER OF DET	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION	
		Herman Cesina, Sr.	Case Number:	CR-09-00738-PHX-PGR	
		_	43(a)(1), a detention hearing has be both, as applicable.)	en submitted to the Court. I conclude that	
X	the defendant is a danger to the community and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.				
×	the de	efendant is a serious flight risk and re	equires the detention of the defendar	nt pending disposition in this case.	
		F	PART I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	- , , , , ,	efendant has been convicted of a (fe e if a circumstance giving rise to fede	deral offense)(state or local offense that eral jurisdiction had existed) that is	
			fined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		an offense for which the n	naximum sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.	
		an offense for which a ma	aximum term of imprisonment of ten y	years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed described in 18 U.S.C. § 3	ed after the defendant had been con 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat	victed of two or more prior federal offenses e or local offenses.	
		any felony that involves a device (as those terms ar to register under 18 U.S.C	e defined in section 921), or any other	session or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The of pending trial for a federal, state or	fense described in finding 1 was com local offense.	nmitted while the defendant was on release	
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period conviction)(release of the defendation)	od of not more than five years has ela ant from imprisonment) for the offens	apsed since the (date of e described in finding 1.	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) esta will reasonably assure the safety on not rebutted this presumption.	ablish a rebuttable presumption that roof (an)other person(s) and the comm	no condition or combination of conditions unity. I further find that the defendant has	
			Alternative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is pr	obable cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense	
		for which a maximum term	n of imprisonment of ten years or mo	re is prescribed in1	
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c),	, 956(a), or 2332b.		
		under 18 U.S.C. 1581-159 prescribed.	94, for which a maximum term of imp	orisonment of 20 years or more is	
		an offense involving a mir	nor victim under section	2	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the conditions will reasonably assure	ne presumption established by finding the appearance of the defendant as	g 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

	Alternative Findings	
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.	
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).	
(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight or	
	danger to the community.	
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)	
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
	The defendant is alleged to have engaged in deceptive conduct regarding his residence and moved to a	
	residence with four minor children, in violation of his conditions of supervised release. Defendant attempted to	
	mislead his probation officer as to his residence and did not have any intention to reside at the approved	
	residence. Defendant moved to another residence without notifying his probation officer. Defendant's conduct	
	demonstrates that he is unwilling to abide by court orders and, as a sex offender, he poses a danger to others	
	by placing himself in a residence with minor children.	
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:	

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:09-cr-00738-PGR Document 33 Filed 08/05/14 Page 3 of 3

X	In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of his supervised release.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 5th day of August, 2014.

Bridget S. Bade United States Magistrate Judge